



## Dorset Council

**Date:** Thursday, 18 July 2024  
**Time:** 6.30 pm  
**Venue:** Council Chamber, County Hall, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ

All members of Dorset Council are requested to attend this meeting of the Full Council.

**Chief Executive:** Matt Prosser, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

For more information about this agenda please contact Democratic Services  
Meeting Contact [susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk](mailto:susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk)

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting, apart from any items listed in the exempt part of this agenda.

For easy access to all the council's committee agendas and minutes download the free public app called Modern.Gov for use on your iPad, Android, and Windows tablet. Once downloaded select Dorset Council.

### Agenda

#### Page No

#### 5. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - QUESTIONS

3 - 8

A period of 30 minutes is allocated to receive and respond to questions and statements on the business of the Council in the following order:

- (a) Questions and statements from Town and Parish Councils;
- (b) Questions and statements from those living or working in the Dorset Council area;

A person or organisation can submit either 1 question or 1 statement at each meeting.

You are welcome to attend the meeting in person or via MS Teams to read out your question and to receive the response. If you submit a statement for Full Council this will be circulated to all members of the council in advance of the meeting as a supplement to the agenda and appended to the minutes of the meeting for the formal record but it will not be read out at the meeting. The first 8 questions and the first 8 statements received by Democratic Services will be accepted on a first come first served basis in accordance with the deadline below:

**The full text of the question or statement must be received by 8.30am on Monday 15<sup>th</sup> July 2024.** All submissions must be emailed

to [susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk](mailto:susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk)

When submitting your question or statement please note that:

Sub-divided questions will not be accepted;

Each question can consist of up to 450 words, including a pre-amble to set the context of the question;

When submitting a question please indicate who the question is for, i.e., the name of the Portfolio Holder;

You will need to include your full name, address and contact details;

All questions and statements will be published in full with the minutes of the meeting as a matter of public record.

## Full Council

18 July 2024

### Public Participation – Questions from Members of the Public

#### **Question 1: - Submitted by Richard Lonsdale**

Dorset Council was formed by merging Weymouth & Portland, four District Councils and the County Council. As such Dorset Council took on the responsibilities of all those councils.

One of those responsibilities was to hear representations by members of the public concerning issues in their area. Now, those voices can only really be heard in Dorchester. There is an exception – the area planning committees.

Using petitions as an example, until today, only one petition has reached the threshold to be discussed at Full Council. That was on Weymouth Parking charges. Potentially another is coming up in a few minutes on an issue related to East Dorset. I doubt someone living in Alderholt has much opinion about Weymouth parking charges. Equally I doubt someone in Bridport is overly concerned about a leisure centre in Pamphill.

Could consideration be given to the formation of area committees, as with planning, to offer more local access and provide more flexibility over the nature of petitions, time for questions and statements and access to full council if necessary?

#### **Question 2: - Submitted by Hannah Small**

I am sure that the council members agree with Dorset Councils Social Care recommendations to “Be more active with exercises or activities for wellbeing,” that access to physical activity is paramount to keeping the population fit, physically and mentally healthy and able to work. One of the most effective ways for people to recover from short term injury, support mental health, keep long term disabled people active and working, and help older people continue in good physical health for longer, is access to a swimming pool. Swimming is scientifically proven and promoted by the NHS and Dorset Council Website as one of the best ways to achieve this. Specialist exercise therapy classes in a gym studio environment are also vitally important and many people with health issues use a mixture of both to maintain fitness levels, prevent further issues occurring and gain social and community support from peers thereby improving mental health. The majority of people with long term health conditions are unfortunately some of the poorest in society due to lack of access to supported employment and higher costs as a direct result of their condition. They are also the most likely to need to use public transport and need Social Care support to access physical activities that are suitable for their condition. Closing the facilities at Queen Elizabeth Leisure Centre has had a negative impact on the disabled population of Wimborne and its surrounding rural villages, resulting in a loss of access to relevant therapies including Exercise

Therapy and Aqua Therapy. There is no replacement service of the same accessibility and quality within a reasonable and affordable distance of QELC, and no rural public transport links for those who cannot drive due to ill health. Those that are available are oversubscribed and lack important accessibility features such as steps, ramps, hoists, changing facilities and a multi depth pool for deep water therapy. This has vastly increased the health inequality that is already a huge problem for disabled and older community members. It has increased costs to Social Care who are having to fund non local therapies, and the poorest members of the community who are having to find extra money to travel to and access basic physical exercise therapies in multiple locations across East Dorset, BCP and into Ringwood Hampshire. In light of the previous council's decision to close Queen Elizabeth Leisure centre and the resulting health inequality for disabled and elderly people, does the council have a plan to address this disparity?

### **Question 3 – submitted by Rebecca Ilghany**

It was argued by the previous council that there is plenty of leisure provision within a 20 minute driving distance of Queen Elizabeth Leisure Centre. This raises concerns with the environmental impact this has and goes against government targets to reduce emissions. Furthermore, since the closure of QELC, other local fitness providers have been overwhelmed with people unable to get on to now over subscribed classes with waiting lists. Such lack of exercise provision will only impact on the NHS, Public Health Dorset and Council's Adult Social Care. With respect to the 82 newly democratically elected council members, in comparison, we are presenting the largest ever submitted to Dorset Council with around 7000 signatures from irate constituents opposing the closure of QELC, a facility that has served the public since 1976 and been at the heart of generations within our community. This petition is endorsed by our local MP. From figures obtained through the Freedom of Information Act, after much scrutiny, it is clear QELC was under marketed and under priced in line with other local leisure centres and when considering the increase in population to the area, the largest conurbation, if this was managed correctly, QELC would have been in a much better position financially. With this in mind, will the newly elected councillors listen to the will of the people and agree to work with Initio (who have recently issued a statement requesting such) and the local community to find a way forward for a new leisure centre to be opened as soon as possible on the site of QELC?

### **Question 4 – submitted by Felicity Frost**

We would like to draw your attention to a case in which the Scottish Borders Council were found to be acting illegally by closing a day care centre in 2022 without properly conducting an equality impact assessment.

We understand that while an Equality Impact Assessment on the closure of the Queen Elizabeth Leisure Centre was drafted, it was not completed and signed off. We question whether suitable alternative provision is really available for disabled service users in the local area, resulting in further disadvantage and health

inequality. Given the huge impact of the closure of QELC on disabled people can you clarify the situation regarding the Equality Impact Assessment?

<https://brodies.com/insights/government-and-public-sector/court-of-session-finds-councils-closure-of-day-care-service-unlawful/>

### **Question 5 – submitted by Roger Hussey**

#### **Why are Officers still enforcing an outdated scaffolding policy on Dorchester's High Street?**

As a Dorchester based architectural Practice we are presently working on Nos 51, 52, 53, 57 & 64 High West Street together with the Museum.

All of these buildings are Grade 2 Listed and sit within the Conservation Area.

With the exception of the Museum all of these buildings were once fine town houses, for many years they have been offices but now, with the lack of demand for office accommodation, they are slowly returning to their original purpose, albeit apartments and maisonettes rather than grand houses.

Very little gets spent on keeping commercial premises in good repair so it is inevitable as part of any conversion that significant external refurbishment of elevations and roofscapes is necessary. Such schemes of work require scaffold and here lies the problem.

Dorset Council has a policy that limits scaffold erection in the High Street to two hours each evening and Sundays. We understand this has been in place for over 30 years and we believe dates from when the High Street was the trunk road (A35).

This policy is long overdue a rethink. The High Street is no longer a trunk road. Focussing scaffold erection into Sundays in an area that is becoming increasingly residential is not pleasant for those already living there and hoping to enjoy a 'day off'.

Significantly, this legislation places huge increased scaffold cost on property owners – money that would be much better spent on the buildings themselves to preserve and enhance Dorchester's architectural heritage. As a real example scaffold is being erected on our own offices at No 57 in August – the increased cost of doing this over several Sundays and outside of normal working hours is £18,800 excluding VAT.

There is no good reason to continue to enforce this outdated policy. If Members will not relax it completely at the very least scaffold erection should be allowed to take place between, say, 9.30am and 4.00pm, thus avoiding any perceived 'rush hour'.

To continue as things stand in the face of escalating construction costs is unacceptable.

### **Question 6 – submitted by Annie Webster**

Re: 14. Notice of Motion - The Nature of Emergency

Proposer:- Cllr Nick Ireland

Re: No.6 f. - Remove the use glyphosate and chemicals damaging to ecosystems/nature where possible.

I request that glyphosate and chemical herbicides damaging ecosystems/nature are removed completely NOT 'just where possible'.

Addressing the ecosystem/nature first, glyphosate, a neonicotinoid, where upon, it is said that a single teaspoon is enough to kill 1.25 billion bees, is seriously disrupting and therefore killing out right, pollinators especially bumble and honey bees. Also disrupting the small insects at the base of the food chain with ever increasing devastating effects. We need to encourage pollinators to thrive not just to survive. Unless we stop using these chemicals because of loss of pollinators, we will suffer food chains breaking down.

Scientists throughout the world have long been concerned with the effects of glyphosate on humans. It is a neurotoxin and an endocrine (hormone) disrupters, which causes cancerous tumours, birth defects and other developmental disorders i.e. ADHD and autism. The WHO lists glyphosate as 'probably carcinogenic'.

The Monsanto Roundup lawsuits in America bears out just how dangerous glyphosate is, with settlement agreements to the tune of approx \$11 billion, with approx another 54,000 claims against Monsanto in the pipeline.

So, basically, glyphosate is a killer and therefore has no place in threatening the lives of any residents or any domestic animals in the Dorset areas. Roads, pavements, public gardens, playgrounds/areas need to be chemical free.

As other places in the country have already done, let's make Dorset a glyphosate and other chemical herbicide free county. And switch to non-chemical methods of weed control in all areas used by the public.

<https://www.pan-uk.org> (pesticide-free towns -success stories)

<https://www.pan-europe.info> (yes, glyphosate is harmful to bees)

### **Question 7 – submitted by Caz Dennett**

The Nature Emergency motion is a most welcome step towards acknowledging

“That nature is in long term decline and urgent action must be taken to reverse this”

However, the motion proposes to continue use of glyphosate. Glyphosate is a non-selective systemic herbicide, known commonly as weed-killer. Non-selective means

it does not target specific species of plant, but kills all plant-life that is in the spray-zone.

Section 6 in the motion, lays out the goals for nature's recovery by 2030, and says

- f. Remove the use of glyphosate and chemicals damaging to ecosystems/nature where possible.

The term "where possible" is too open. It doesn't convey an ambition to prevent, reduce, restrict, or control glyphosate usage. I contacted nature expert, campaigner, and TV presenter Chris Packham for advice, and he sent me this message of encouragement for Dorset Council.

*To the members of Dorset Council*

*I applaud that Dorset Council has a motion to declare a nature emergency- using the right language at critical time- a time when our wildlife locally and globally is suffering catastrophic declines. But to continue using Glyphosate across Dorset's urban areas is simply mind-boggling.*

*We know Glyphosate-based products have the potential to cause significant damage to human health and to the environment- why take that risk?  
Wilder urban areas are better for nature and people- who can argue that our parks, streets and towns are only boosted by the presence of bees and butterflies.*

*In a biodiversity crisis we need leaders- this is a huge opportunity for the council to lead and demonstrate that emergency situations require ambitious, bold action.*

*I love Dorset, we've filmed many of the Spring/Autumn watches in Arne- let's keep the buzz in Dorset!*

*I urge Dorset Council to be an 'authority of action' for nature, and rise to the challenge by reducing and restricting glyphosate usage.*

*Thank you*



**Chris Packham**

*TV Broadcaster and Environmental Campaigner.*

*14.07.24*

To become a top performer in nature protection and restoration I ask this Council to commit to reducing and restricting glyphosate usage within an agreed timeframe. I recognize this is a challenge, we all recognize this is a challenge. However, the

nature emergency demands we change our practices, because nature needs our critical care to recover, and to restore good health.

**Q. Will Council members consider amending Item 6.f to:**

**Reduce and restrict the use of glyphosate and chemicals damaging to ecosystems/nature?**